https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



# THE TRANSLATION OF INFORMATION PATTERN FOR I CARE A LOT MOVIE SUBTITLE FROM ENGLISH TO INDONESIAN

Sajarwa<sup>1\*</sup>, Aulia Addinillah A<sup>2</sup>, Nadia Khumairo Mash'umah<sup>3</sup>, Cynthia Yanda<sup>4</sup>, Ahmad Khalid M. Al-maziidi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>French Literature Study Program, Faculty of Cultural Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta

<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> Graduate School of Linguistic, Faculty of Cultural Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta

Corresponding Author: Sajarwa, E-mail: sajarwa@ugm.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 26-06-2021	Movie subtitle translation is the transfer of meaning from source text into target
Accepted: 15-10-2021	text in the form of text under the screen with limited time and characters. One of
Published: 30-10-2021	the problems in translating movie subtitle is the information pattern. Information
Volume:5	patterns are how the information is organized. This information arrangement
Issue: 2	includes information status and information urgency. This research uses
DOI:	descriptive and comparative methods. The results of the study indicate that (i)
https://doi.org/10.33019/lire.v	there are parallels in information pattern urgency, namely foreground position
5i2.126	tends to be in the beginning of the speech; (ii) the misalignment of information
KEYWORDS	status occurs in sentences translation with it subject and that impersonal and in
	interrogative and imperative sentences translation, as well as in sentences
Translation, subtitle,	translation with the non-doer subject.
information status,	
information urgency.	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Movie translation is very important so that movie lovers can enjoy the movies from all over the world. Movie translation is included in the category of audiovisual translation as stated by Diaź-Cintas (2009) that movie translation is an Audiovisual Translation (AVT). There are two types of movie translation, namely subtitles and dubbing. According to Baker (1998: 74), dubbing is a translation by replacing the spoken language in the movie. Meanwhile, subtitling or subtitle is the transfer of meaning from source text into target text in the form of text below the screen. The most challenging audiovisual translation for a translator today is subtitle, due to the translator must understand the terms of the time limit and the number of characters available so that the source text dialogue message can be transferred properly into the target text.

One of the problems in translating movie subtitle is the information pattern. Information patterns are how the information is organized. This information arrangement involves two matters, namely information status and information urgency. Information status includes old information and new information. According to Chafe (1976: 210) new information is information conveyed



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



by the speaker to the interlocutor for the first time while old information is the one that has been discussed. At the lexical level, information status is related to definitiness. Nouns with indefinite characteristics are lingual units containing new information, while definite nouns are lingual units containing old information (Baryadi, 2002: 70-71). Information urgency consists of important and less important information. The part of the sentence that contains important information is called theme, while the less important information is called rhema. In narrative discourse, the important part of the information is called foreground, and the less important part of the information is called background. Lingual units that contain important and less important information, are determined from position or intonation (see M. A. K. Halliday & Matthiessen, 2004; İşsever, 2003).

Research on information patterns has been carried out by several previous researchers. Setiawan's research (2018) focuses on the descriptive expression of old information in Indonesian language scientific writing discourse. The results of the study show that old information markers are divided into five types, including 1) nouns, 2) personal pronouns, 3) personal names, and 4) FN Definition. However, this study is limited to classification. Denafri (2018) identifies the information structure of Indonesian sentences. The results of his research show that the topic elements of a single sentence in Indonesian can be filled in by the subject and description. Topics can be marked in the form of demonstrative markers, such as lexical *ini* "this" and *itu* "that". Definitive markers *ini* "this" and *itu* "that" make the topic limited, the presupposition focus structure in a single Indonesian sentence consists of a sentence focus structure, an argument focus structure and a predicate focus structure. Mirahayuni's research (2014) analyzes new information in the Introduction section of an English-language research article. The results show that in new information arrangement in the Introduction section of the research article, there is a general tendency to organize and convey new information with an accumulative strategy.

Sajarwa (2013), on the other hand, identifies the court in French discourse. The results show that the court in French single sentence structure is influenced by the structure that builds the sentence, the court in the compound sentence structure in French is influenced by the tense, while the court through the discourse point of view is influenced by the aspects that compose it. The study of the English language court is also researched by du Toit (2020) and concluded that the imperfective aspect serves as foreground information. The study on information patterns



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021

asînta 53

translation is carried out by Li (1999) using movie as the material object. It is argued that the information structure will affect the translation equivalence in English and Chinese. Meanwhile, Khadijeh and Habib (2021) identify information structures and thematic structures, one of which is the corpus of Persian language movie. The research above shows that many researches on subtitles translation have been carried out however the focus is on translating cultural terms, strategies, techniques, and methods of translation. This article focuses on analyzing translation parallels and misalignments of information status and information urgency.

The movie subtitles translation is different from the field of translation in other forms. In this field, translators face source language texts that show various signs, namely verbal or nonverbal, intentional or unintentional, and implicit or explicit. These signs are combined to form a message that will be received by the audience (Gottlieb, 1994). The movie subtitle translation focuses on the most important matter in terms of information (Diaź-Cintas & Remael, 2007). This translation has limitations due to the shift in modes from spoken to written, namely the factors that govern the media or channel in which meaning is conveyed, reduction in TSu, requirements for matching visual images (Hatim & Mason, 1996). In detail, Delabastita (1989) identifies audiovisual translation which includes verbal features (various stylistic and dialectical features), stories (plots, dialogues, etc., according to genre), proxemic and kinetic (related to various non-verbal behaviors), cinematic (camera techniques, film genres, etc.)

In principle, the focus in audiovisual translation is to make the translation interesting, informative, artistic, and educational (Luyken & Herbst, 1991). The main goal of translation is to produce the effect that is as close as possible between the readers of the target language text and the readers of the source language text (Newmark, 1981). This extension of the voice channel and visual channel limits the translation strategies and procedures used. This study will analyze the caption translation for *I Care a Lot* movie subtitle from English to Indonesian, with the focus on analyzing parallels and misalignments in information patterns translation.

### 2. METHODOLOGY

This study uses data on English and Indonesian movie subtitles. The movie subtitle *I Care a Lot* (Blakeson, Pike, Dinklage, & González, 2021) which was released on February 19, 2021 in English as source text (ST) and its translation in Indonesian as target text (TT) which is translated by



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021

asînta si

Christy Sugiarto in the form of an official Netflix translation. Movie subtitles are chosen as the data source in this study due to differences in rules and sentence structures in English and Indonesian which affect the information patterns translation.

The crime-thriller genre *I Care a Lot* tells the story of an anti-patriarchal woman whose ambition was to amass wealth by deceiving wealthy elderly people under the guise of guardianship under the auspices of a legal court. The elderly who she thought were helpless turned out to be the mother of a sadistic member of the Russian mafia. This movie is the only one that raises the abuse issue of elderly guardianship in the United States (www.imdb.com). However, along with the success of its screening, this movie triggered and reaped various responses, both from audiences and critics. Based on the ranking results on the website www.rottentomatoes.com, 80% of the total 203 critics said this movie is worth watching.

The analysis focuses on parallels and misalignments of information patterns. The selection is based on the consideration that in the discourse there are relationships between elements, both cohesion and coherence relationships, old information and new information relationship, as well as foreground and background which are information arrangement aspects. This study uses a comparative method by comparing two data.

In the first step, a descriptive method was applied by breaking down the data (break down) and classifying it into single and compound clauses along with the types of sentences. This step refers to the functional analysis of Halliday and Hassan (1976: 2) which states that the text is not an extension of the grammatical level scale, but as a semantic unit that is realized through sentences that are broken down into clauses. Clause analysis is based on three functions, which include: syntactic function, semantic function, and pragmatic function (Dik, 1984), resulting in grammatical structure, lexical structure, and informational organization. After being broken down in the form of clauses, the information status and information urgency patterns were analyzed using distributional method which is described with the basic technique of Divided Direct Elements (Bagi Unsur Langsung/BUL) (Sudaryanto, 1993). This technique was applied to divide the clause into several parts according to the direct element. Afterwards, a comparative method was applied so as to produce (1) the alignment of information status containing findings (a) identical information pattern + identical semantic pattern; (b) identical information pattern + non-



P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



identical semantic pattern; (c) information pattern and non-identical semantic pattern. Meanwhile (2) the alignment of information urgency pattern contains the findings of (a) identical information pattern; and (b) non-identical information pattern.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3.1 Allignment Pattern of Subtitle Information Urgency

## 3.1.1 Identical Information Urgency Pattern + Identical Semantic Pattern

The information urgency pattern in a text is important information or *Latar Depan/LD* (foreground) and less important information or *Latar Belakang/LB* (background). In this section, the analysis of LB and LD is viewed from two points of view, namely the alignment of information urgency pattern and the misalignment of information urgency pattern. Look at examples (1) - (6) below.

Data (1) represents identical information patterns when viewed from the sentence structure in both TSu and TSa, namely FN + FV + FP in active sentence construction. The similarity of the syntactic and semantic structure in the example sentences causes the pattern of information urgency status to remain unchanged. In TSu, *you* as FN has an urgent status as LB; *stole something* as FV + *from me* as FP has urgency status as LD. Later on, in TSa, *you* as FN status as LB, verb phrases *steal something* and prepositional phrases *from me* are LD.

2)	TSu: <u>Two weeks ago</u> ,	Jennifer's health	took a severe downwards turn.	
	LD	LB	LB	
	TSa: <u>Dua minggu lalu</u>	kesehatan Jennifer menurun drastis.		
	LD	LB	LB	

The sentence in data (2) has a different construction from sentence (1) which is located in FP position. Sentence (1) puts FP (*from me*) behind, while sentence (2) puts FP (*two weeks ago*) in front. Putting FP at the beginning of the sentence makes the FP as LD, while FN and FV urgency status become LB. This shows that FP is an element in clause that can move and FP in sentence (2) contains more important information than FP in sentence (1). Thus, sentences (1) and (2) can



Volume 5 Number 2 2021



be formulated using the structural rules  $FN + FV + (\Box FP)$  which apply to active sentence construction.

3) TSu: A fiction was created by Ms. Grayson.

LD LB

TSa: <u>Sebuah fiksi telah diciptakan</u> <u>oleh bu Grayson.</u>

LD LB

Sentence (3) TSu is composed of passive sentence construction FV + FN, where FV is composed of FN + FV (*A fiction* + *was created*) and FN is composed of preposition + noun (by + Ms. Grayson). In TSu, the urgency status of FV is LD, while FN has urgency status as LB. Sentence (3) TSa has an urgency status pattern that is parallel to the identical semantic structure.

4) TSu: <u>Don't get fooled</u> <u>by old people</u>.

LD LB

TSa: <u>Jangan tertipu</u> <u>oleh orang tua</u>.

LD LB

Sentence (4), both TSu and TSa, have passive sentence construction in imperative sentence type with FV + FN structure, where FV (*Don't get fooled*) in TSu and (*Jangan tertipu*) in TSa have status as LD and FN (*by old people*) on TSu and (*oleh orang tua*) on TSa as LB. The sentence types similarity and status information patterns cause urgency status patterns parallelism and semantic structures between the two sentences.

5) TSu: <u>I</u> <u>cut all her fingers off</u> <u>with a bread knife</u>.

LB LD LD

TSa: <u>Jarinya kupotong</u> <u>dengan pisau roti</u>.

LB LD LD

In data (5), there is a change in perspective or a modulation strategy application in translating TSu sentences into TSa. TSu in data (5) is built on FN + FV + FP structure which means that LB status is represented by FN (I) and LD is represented by FV ( $cut\ all\ her\ fingers\ off$ ) + FP ( $with\ a\ bread\ knife$ ). On the other hand, in TSa, the subtitle translator implements a modulation



P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



strategy by changing the point of view into *jarinya* as FN, *kupotong* as FV, and *dengan pisau roti* as FP. Despite the change in point of view, the two sentences in data (5) still have identical urgency status patterns.

6) TSu: When an incapacitated John Doe is found (LB), the state automatically appoints them a legal guardian to oversee their treatment and welfare (LD).

TSa: <u>Saat pasien anonim yang cacat ditemukan</u> (LB), <u>negara secara langsung menunjuk</u> wali yang sah untuk mengawasi perawatan dan kesejahteraannya (LD).

In multilevel compound sentences, information urgency status is determined based on clause type, both the main clause and the subordinate clause. Sentence (6) provides an example of information parallel pattern in a compound sentence (subordinating) with a subordinate clause placed in front of the sentence. However, LD status remains in the main clause and LB is in the subordinate clause. The same sentence structure also occurs in TSa where the subordinate clause is placed in front of the sentence. The TSa sentence has the same information urgency pattern as TSu sentence.

### 3.12 Identical Information Urgency Pattern + Non Identical Semantic Pattern

7) TSu: <u>I</u> <u>just responded to a call</u> <u>from a doctor</u>.

LB LD LD

TSa: <u>Aku</u> <u>merespons panggilan dokter</u>.

LD

The sentence in (7) is an active single sentence with a parallel information urgency pattern but the semantic is non identical patterns. In TSu, sentences are composed of FN (I) + FV ( $just\ responded\ to\ a\ call$ ) + FP ( $from\ a\ doctor$ ). The urgency status in the sentence is LB (FN) + LD (FV + FP). Changes in semantic pattern are seen in TSa which is composed of FN (Aku) + FV ( $merespons\ panggilan\ dokter$ ). The change is indicated by omitting the preposition (from) which removes FP in TSa sentence. This shows that semantic pattern misalignment does not always affect information urgency status pattern.

8) TSu: <u>Curtis</u> <u>will validate your parking</u> <u>on the way out</u>.

LB LD LD

TSa: <u>Curtis</u> <u>akan mengesahkan parkirmu</u>.

LB LD

In the sentence (8), TSu is an active singular sentence with semantic structure misalignment caused by omitting FP (on the way out) in TSa. In TSu, the sentence is built on the structure FN



Volume 5 Number 2 2021



(*Curtis*) + FV (*will validate your parking*) + FP (*on the way out*), while in TSa, the sentence structure changes to FN (*Curtis*) + FV (*akan mengesahkan parkirmu*). In sentence (8) in TSu, LD's urgency status is represented by FV + FP, while LB's urgency status is represented by FN. On the other hand, LD's urgency status in sentence (8) in TSa is only represented by FV and LB by FN. Although there is a change in the semantic structure in TSA, the information urgency pattern in TSu and TSa does not change.

### 3.13 Information Urgency Pattern + Non Identical Semantic Pattern

9) TSu: She's now a ward of the state.

LB LD LD

TSa: Kini dia dilindungi negara.

LD LB LB LB

Sentence (9) shows a change in the sentence type from active sentences in TSu to passive sentences in TSa. Sentence (9) Tsu can literally be translated "Kini dia adalah tanggungan negara". However, in TSa, the verb is not translated and modulation is done so that TSa sentence type becomes a passive sentence. The sentence structure in sentence (8) TSu is FN (*She*) + FV ('s) + FP (*now*) + FN (*a ward of the state*). In TSu, FN (subject) acts as LB, while FV, FP, and FN (object) act as LD. However, the change in information urgency status occurs in TSa when FP changes position to be at the beginning of the sentence. We also found that the translation results were modulated by changing the active to passive form, LD urgency information status had a tendency to change despite changes in the semantic pattern.

In sentence (10) there is a sentence form changing in the source text and the target text. Sentences in TSu are compound sentences consisting of a main clause and a subordinate clause. The main clause *We don't know* has LD position, while the subordinate clause *they killed her* has LB position. However, the sentence in TSa are translated into single sentence with the structure FN + FV. The noun phrase *kita* is LB while the verb phrase *tak tahu itu* is LD. Therefore, it can



P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



be said that there is a change in information urgency pattern and semantic patterns so that the sentences in TSu and TSa are not identical sentences.

## 3.2 Allignment Pattern of Information Status in Subtitles

#### 3.2.1 Identical Information Status Pattern

In a text, the structure is an information status representation, both IL and IB. In the case of the translated text that is built by the source text (TSu) and the target text (TSa), the information status position in the sentence structure can be identical and non-identical. The following examples of sentences show how IL and IB statuses are maintained by the subtitles maker so as to form information status parallel pattern on TSa.

11) TSu: <u>I</u> am a fucking lioness.

IL II

TSa: Aku adalah seekor singa betina.

IL II

12) TSu: You're not good people.

IL IB

TSa: Kau bukan orang baik.

I. IP

In data (11) and (12) no shift in information status is found due to the similarity of the syntactic sequence in English as TSu and in Indonesian as TSa, namely S-V-O. Therefore, syntactic functions which generally have IL status (subject) and IB status (predicate and object) in TSu are also owned by TSa.

#### 3.2.2 Non-Identical Information Status Pattern

Status information pattern is said to be not identical when there is a change in IL to IB status and vice versa. In this article, we classify information status misalignments based on the types of sentences, namely interrogative and imperative sentences, and based on the subject-filling syntactic categories used in TSu and TSa, namely non-doer nouns and demonstrative pronouns (*It*).

The misalignment information status pattern in **interrogative sentences.** 

13) TSu: <u>Can I</u> <u>get</u> <u>a copy of</u> <u>all this</u>?

IL IB IB IL



Volume 5 Number 2 2021



TSa: Boleh minta kopinya?

IL IB IL

14) TSu: Well, what if he's saying the truth?

IL IB IL

TSa: <u>Bagaimana jika</u> <u>dia</u> <u>jujur</u>?

IL IL IB

Data (13) and (14) show the misalignment information status pattern between TSu and TSa in interrogative sentences. In the example sentence in data (13), the modal verb "can" together with subject I establishes IL status in TSu, while in TSa, subject I is omitted to  $\emptyset$  form. Similar to data (14), the noun phrase the truth in TSu is translated into adjective jujur in TSa.

The misalignment of information status pattern in **imperative sentences.** 

15) TSu: Push her physical exercise to grade-four level.

3 IL

TSa: Beri latihan fisik level empat.

16) a. TSu: Sam, I want you to adjust <u>Jennifer Peterson's routine</u>.

 $^{\mathrm{IB}}$ 

TSa: Aku ingin kau menyesuaikan rutinitas Jennifer Peterson.

ΙB

b. TSu: Take her arthritis and pain meds down to minimum.

IB IL IB

TSa: Minimalkan obat artritis dan pereda nyeri.

IΒ

Data (15) and (16) show the misalignment of information status pattern on TSu and TSa. In the example above, the pronoun *her* has IL status IL in TSu, which refers to the character Jennifer Peterson in the context into  $\phi$  form of in TSa. Therefore, it can be said that there is a misalignment of information status pattern in TSu and TSa.

The misalignment of information status pattern in the sentence with **non-doer subject**.

17) a. TSu: Playing fair is a joke invented by rich people to keep the rest of us poor and I've been <u>poor</u>.

IΒ



P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



TSa: Kejujuran adalah lelucon yang diciptakan orang kaya untuk membuat kita tetap miskin, aku pernah miskin.

ΙB

b. TSu: 
$$\underline{It}$$
 doesn't agree with  $\underline{me}$ . IL IB IL

The data sentence (17) shows the misalignment of information status pattern in the sentence with the non-doer subject. It can be seen that there is a misalignment of information status pattern due to a change in the syntactic function from the predicate to the subject indicated by the word *miskin*. The pronoun *It* in TSu in data (17.b) is translated into adjective *miskin* in TSa. Although in sentence 17.b. the subject is filled with the demonstrative pronoun *it*, the subtitle maker decides to translate *it* as *miskin* or refers to the referent represented by *it* in sentence 17.a.

The misalignment of information status in sentences with **demonstrative pronouns**.

IL IB IB

Tsa: Asuransinya amat bagus.

IB

IL IB

19) a. TSu: The savings account is nearly empty.

.

TSa: Rekeningnya hampir kosong

IL IB

b. TSu: It won't cover the facility bill after this month.

IL IB IL

TSa: ø Tak cukup untuk biaya bulan depan.

The data sentences (18) and (19) show the misalignment of information status pattern in the sentence with the non-doer subject. In data (18) the pronoun *she* 'dia' as a subject in TSu has IL status and the phrase *very good insurance* 'asuransi yang sangat bagus' in TSu has IB status. However, in TSa, the translator chose to translate it into *asuransinya sangat bagus* with the noun *insurance* being the subject and changing the status to IL. Furthermore, in sentence (19), we observe an misalignment of information status pattern due to a change in the syntactic function

IL



Volume 5 Number 2 2021



from the predicate to the subject indicated by the word *miskin*. The pronoun *It* in TSu in data (19.b) is translated into adjective *miskin* in TSa. Although in sentence 190.b. the subject is filled with the demonstrative pronoun *it*, the subtitle maker decides to translate it as *miskin* or refers to the referent represented by *it* in sentence 19.a.

Furthermore, in data (20) and (21) there is a modulation in translating the demonstrative pronoun *It*, which is indicated by changes in the subject's syntactic function in TSa. This shows the misalignment of information status pattern in the noun phrases *a real nice neighborhood* (IB) and *a real nice stone* (IB) in TSu to become noun *lingkungan* (IL) and *batu ini* (IL) in TSa. Example sentences (20) and (21) show similarities in terms of the misalignment of information status form, where noun phrases that function as objects (*a real nice neighborhood*) and (*a real nice stone*) are placed at the end of the sentence and have information status as IB in TSu. FN in both sentences acts as a referent which is referred to by the demonstrative pronoun *it* which functions as the subject. In both sentences (20) and (21) TSu, the demonstrative pronoun has information status as IL. However, the state of IL changes shape by shifting the function of the object into the subject, namely (*Lingkungan*) and (*Batu ini*). However, in sentence (20), the subject was modified with complement *yang sangat bagus*, while the subject in sentence (21) TSa was given a subtitle marker (*ini*).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Based on information urgency pattern, we found in this study that the foreground tends to be placed at the beginning of the sentence. This finding indicates that the foreground has the same function as the theme in the sentence as explained by Halliday and Matthiessen (2004) that based on the order, the theme is at the beginning of the sentence. However, the results of our analysis also show



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



that this trend is not absolute. According to Sajarwa (2013), information urgency in single French sentences is determined based on the sentence structure where verbal phrases (FV) have higher information urgency than nominal phrases (FN). In a compound sentence, information urgency is determined based on tenses where clause with *imparfait* tense as back ground marker and *passé simple* as fore ground marker. In English, *imparfait* function as LB marker is equivalent to the past continuous tense, while *passé simple* is equivalent to the simple past tense. In Indonesian, the sentence structure does not recognize the concept of time. Therefore, in Indonesian subordinating complex sentences, LD is represented by a main clause, while LB is represented by a subordinate clause.

In a sentence, information urgency can be tested by eliminating words or phrases that are identified as LD or LB. The proof of information urgency can be shown through sample data (4). When LB (by old people) or (oleh orang tua) is removed from the sentence so that it changes to Don't get fooled (TSu) and Jangan tertipu (TSa), the message meaning conveyed by the speaker does not change. This is possible due to both the speaker and the recipient of the message involved in the dialogue already have knowledge of what is being discussed even though LB is not stated explicitly. Testing method of information urgency in sentences can also be applied when testing the status of old information (IL) and new information (IB) due to old information is the type of information that is assumed by the speaker to be known by the speech partner (Setiawan, 2018).

In the case where IL in the subtitle/TSa is omitted, IL is represented by demonstrative pronouns as shown in data (19). In data 19.b., the demonstrative pronoun *it* refers to *the saving account* which is located in the previous sentence (19.a.). Both *it* and *the saving account* in the two sentences have information status as IL. However, in the subtitle *it* is not translated but converted into  $\emptyset$  form. This again proves that IL can be removed while IB cannot, therefore in subtitle translation context, IB is always translated into TSa.

Another similar case is also shown in data (19) and (20) where demonstrative pronouns that initially have status as IL in TSu are omitted in TSa. The demonstrative pronoun that functions as subject in the three examples does not refer to anything. In this case, the demonstrative pronoun *it* has the same function and character as the demonstrative pronoun *il* in French. According to Sajarwa (2000), the demonstrative pronoun *il* has two main properties, *irréle* and *réel*. The first



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



property indicates that the pronoun has no referent, while the pronoun *réel* has a referent. The demonstrative pronoun *irréle* has only a grammatical function. Indonesian does not recognize demonstrative pronouns as fillers of grammatical functions without referents. Therefore, in translation, the pronoun *irréle* is always omitted.

There is also a misalignment of information status patterns in interrogative and imperative sentences. The results of our analysis show that changes in information status pattern from TSu to TSa do not affect the illocutionary acts conveyed by speakers. In other words, changes in information status pattern in subtitle translation have no effect on pragmatic equivalence. This is shown in data (13) and (14) for misalignment of information patterns in interrogative sentences and data (15) and (16) for misalignment of information patterns in imperative sentences. In data (13), the speaker shows a directive illocutionary act in which he asks the message recipient to perform an action. The resulting subtitles translation on the data also contains a directive illocutionary act. Data (14) also shows the same phenomenon where the expressive illocutionary act is maintained in subtitle translation even when the pronoun persona *you* in TSu is removed from the subtitle.

Another finding identified in this study is the change in information status from IB to IL that occurs in non-doer subject in subtitle translation. In data (18), the noun phrase *very good insurance* fills the syntactic function as an object and has information status as IB. Subsequently, in subtitle translation, the noun phrase changes its function as subject with particle *-nya* which becomes subtitle marker. Therefore, the object with status IB on TSu changes to IL on TSa. The findings of this pattern change can be generally accepted. A similar case where the object with IB status tums into a non-doer subject with IL status is also shown in the data (19). However, there are differences in the location in information patterns change between data (18) and data (19). In data (18), changes in information status pattern occur in intra-sentence, namely the object in TSu turns into a subject in TSa therefore it also changes the status information pattern. In data (19), a change in information status pattern occurs between sentences where the predicate *have been poor* in sentence (19.a.) changes to the subject represented by pronoun *it* in sentence (19.b). In the translation, *it* is later translated into *miskin* by referring to the referent located in the previous sentence.



https://lirejournal.ubb.ac.id/index.php/LRJ/index

P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021

Sînta S3

Based on data analysis results, we found a shift in information status pattern and a shift in information urgency in subtitle translation of *I Care A Lot* movie. We argue that the shift in information status pattern in subtitle translation is motivated by the capacity of characters allowed in one subtitle line. Research findings shows that the relative pronoun filled grammatical functions are always omitted have a high possibility of generalisability. In addition, contrastive research on the criteria for IL and IB markers based on categories and syntactic functions in BSu and BSa is also required in the development translation studies.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The movie subtitle translation analysis above shows that there are parallels and misalignments of information patterns from English to Indonesian. The alignment of information urgency pattern is that the foreground position tends to be at the beginning of the speech. The foreground has important information therefore it is not possible to be omitted. The misalignment of information status occurs in sentences translation with impersonal subjects *it* and *that*. The misalignment of information status also occurs in the translation of interrogative and imperative sentences. However, this affects the pragmatic equivalence. The misalignment of information status also occurs in sentences translation with non-doer subject.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This article is the result of joint research. Sajarwa determines the research topic, develops a theoretical framework, analyzes data, draws up discussion and concludes. Aulia Addinillah A and Cynthia Yanda collect data, compile the results, and assist in analyzing the data. Nadia Khumairo and Akhmad Khalid prepare a literature review and research methods. I would like to thank the students for their enthusiastic discussion in the class to provide this interesting idea about translation in subtitle and their thorough assistance in writing this article.



P-ISSN: 2598-1803 E-ISSN: 2581-2130

Volume 5 Number 2 2021



### **REFERENCES**

- Baker, M. (1998). Encyclopedia of translation studies. London: Routledge.
- Baryadi, P. (2002). *Dasar-dasar analisis wacana dalam ilmu bahasa*. Jogjakarta: Pustaka Gondho Suli.
- Blakeson, J., Pike, R., Dinklage, P., & González, E. (2021). *I Care a Lot* [Comedy, Crime, Thriller]. United States of America: Black Bear Pictures, Crimple Beck.
- Chafe, W. L. (1976). Givenness, Contrastiveness, Definiteness, Subjects, Topics, and Point of View in Subject and Topic. In C. N. Li (Ed.), *Symposium on Subject and Topic* (pp. 25–55). California: University of California. Retrieved from http://pascal-francis.inist.fr/vibad/index.php?action=getRecordDetail&idt=12402644
- Delabastita, D. (1989). Translation and Mass-Communication: Film- and T.V.-Translation as Evidence of Cultural Dynamics. *Babel*, *35*(4), 193–218. Retrieved from https://researchportal.unamur.be/en/publications/translation-and-mass-communication-film-and-tv-translation-as-evi
- Denafri, B. (2018). Struktur Informasi Kalimat Bahasa Indonesia. *Sirok Bastra*, 6(1), 43–49. https://doi.org/10.37671/sb.v6i1.125
- Diaź-Cintas, J. (2009). New trends in audiovisual translation. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Diaź-Cintas, J., & Remael, A. (2007). *Audiovisual translation: Subtitling*. Manchester: St. Jerome publishing.
- Dik, S. C. (1984). Functional grammar. Dordrecht: Foris.
- du Toit, H. (2020). Foreground and background in the narrative discourse of Luke's Gospel: Some remarks on the function of the Greek imperfect and pluperfect indicative tense-forms. Stellenbosch Papers in Linguistics Plus (SPiLPlus), 59, 61–79. https://doi.org/10.5842/59-0-800
- Gottlieb, H. (1994). Subtitling: Diagonal translation. *Perspectives*, 2(1), 101–121. https://doi.org/10.1080/0907676X.1994.9961227
- Halliday, M. A., & Hassan, R. (1976). Cohesion in English. London: Longman.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2004). *An introduction to functional grammar*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hatim, B., & Mason, I. (1996). Politeness in screen translating. In B. Hatim & I. Mason (Eds.), *The Translator As Communicator* (pp. 65–80). New York: Routledge.



Volume 5 Number 2 2021



- İşsever, S. (2003). Information structure in Turkish: The word order–prosody interface. *Lingua*, 113(11), 1025–1053. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3841(03)00012-3
- Khadijeh, T., & Habib, G. (2021). *Information Structure and Thematic Structure of Persian Language A Corpus-based Analysis*. 13(38), 213–242. Retrieved from https://www.sid.ir/EN/JOURNAL/ViewPaper.aspx?ID=813128
- Li, J. (1999). Film Dialogue Translation And The Intonation Unit: Towards Equivalent Effect In English And Chinese (Dissertation, Edith Cowan University). Edith Cowan University, Australia. Retrieved from https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses/1480
- Luyken, G.-M., & Herbst, T. (1991). Overcoming Language Barriers in Television: Dubbing and Subtitling for the European Audience. European Institute for the Media.
- Mirahayuni, N. K. (2014). Strategi Akumulatif Struktur Informasi pada Bagian Pendahuluan Artikel Penelitian Berbahasa Inggris. *PARAFRASE: Jurnal Kajian Kebahasaan & Kesastraan*, *14*(01). https://doi.org/10.30996/parafrase.v14i01.322
- Newmark, P. (1981). *Approaches to translation*. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Sajarwa. (2000). Status Informasi dalam Kalimat dan Wacana Bahasa Prancis. *Humaniora*, 12(3), 279–284. https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.700
- Sajarwa, S. (2013). Pelataran dalam Wacana Bahasa Prancis. *Humaniora*, 25(2), 205–214. https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.2363
- Setiawan, T. (2018). Expression of Old Information in Indonesian Scientific Written Discourse. *Jurnal Penelitian Humaniora*, 23(1), 1–13. Retrieved from https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/humaniora/article/view/24464
- Sudaryanto. (1993). *Metode dan aneka teknik analisis bahasa: Pengantar penelitian wahana kebudayaan secara linguistis*. Yogyakarta: Duta Wacana University Press.

